Foundations of the Semantic Web
Lecture 4
More Pattern and a Problem
Classes as Values
Combining subclassOf and equivalentClasses axioms
(General Inclusion Axioms - GICs)

Alan Rector

Part 1: Classes as Values

- In OWL DL nothing can be both a class and an individual
  - In classic Protégé and most frame languages everything is an individual of something
    - "Tangle at the top"
      The top class is an instance of a meta class "Class":
      The top metaclass "MetaClass" is an instance of itself.
  - In OWL-Full a class can also be an individual
- Why the problem?
  - Paradoxes of self reference - undecidable statements are hard to avoid
    - Russell Paradox: The class of all classes that are not instances of themselves.
    - Liar Paradox (Epimenides paradox): Is the following statement true? "This statement is false"
- The logic is *much* trickier than it looks
  - If you are interested in the theory look up Zermelo Frankel and/or Von Neuman set theory - or talk to our logician colleagues

The classic application

- I want to index book / web pages / films … according to what they are 'about'.
- The standard vocabulary for doing this in rdf is "Dublin Core" - namespace usually abbreviated to "dc:"

Using Classes as Property Values



Using Classes Directly As Values



Cannot do this directly in OWL 1.0

- Will use ‘puns’s as weak solution in OWL 1.1
- Best approximation in existing protégé
  - Name a an individual for each class with a suffix or naming-convention, e.g. lower case.
  - Provide the mirroring relation by hand or script
- There should be improved solutions coming

Approach 1: Considerations

- Compatible with OWL Full and RDF Schema
- Outside OWL DL
  - Because classes cannot be values in OWL-DL
    - Nothing can be both a class and and instance

Approach 2: Hierarchy of Subjects



Hierarchy of Subjects: Considerations

- Compatible with OWL DL
- Instances of class Lion are now subjects
- No direct relation between LionSubject and AfricalLionSubject
- Maintenance penalty



9

Hierarchy of Subjects



10

Hierarchy of Subjects: Considerations

- Compatible with OWL DL
- Subject hierarchy (terminology) is independent of class hierarchy (rdfs:seeAlso)
- Maintenance penalty
  - Can make individuals primary and define classes in terms of individuals, but still expensive
    - And current reasoners dreadful to debug for such individuals



11

Apporach 3. Using members of a class as values



12

Approach 3: Considerations

- Compatible OWL DL
  - Does not fit well with OWL Full and RDF Schema although legal
  - Can use reasoner easily to organise classes and specific books
- Cannot distinguish:
  - Books about some lion(s)"
    - e.g. *Born Free* about "Elsie the lion"
  - Books about lions in general
    - e.g. "The life of the pride"
- Manchester's usual solution
  - But other's disagree
    - Some librarians consider it simply incorrect - "what we fail students for"

13

What are the consequences? The difference shows up in "value sets"

- Any value list that includes "felines" must include "lions"
  - All books about "some lion" are books about "some feline".
- Might want to organise our books according to families, genera, and then species
  - Doesn't work
    - (unless there is a strict number of levels, and even then is a kluge using multiple properties - h*as_general_subject, has_species_subject,* etc.)
  - A real issue in building practical software
    - Delivering value sets is one of the prime requirements of ontologies in most software applications

14

Part 2 Defined Classes with Necessary Conditions

- OWL allows the same class to be defined and have additional necessary conditions
  - Protégé OWL has made this easy to do

15

Defined classes with necessary conditions

- What does it mean to have both kinds of restrictions
  - Necessary and Sufficient
  - Necessary
- Animal_with_fins =
  Animal AND has_limb_type SOME Fins
  ==>
  habitat_includes someValuesFrom Aquatic_habitat

Vocabulary: "Aquatic" - having to do with water.

16

Defined classes with necessary conditions

- Effectively such classes are rules or axioms
  - "Any animal with fins, has a habitat that includes includes some Aquatic_habitat"



17

SubclassOf means "Necessarily implies"

- Protégé OWL necessary statements necessary implications
  - Equivalent to subclass axioms
    - In fact the interface will move the class under the subclass
- Very strong statement
  - Any animal, without exception, that has fins lives in aquatic habitat
    - Think about Toads? Do they have fins? Do their habitats include aquatic?
  - What if we said "Animals with wings fly"?
- Therefore defined classes with necessary statements are called "General Inclusion Axioms"
  - They are a general way of writing axioms about subsumption ("inclusion")

18

Debugging & GICs

- If a definition implies that something is classified under it that conflicts with its necessary conditions
  - The classifier will not show the classification
    - It will just show that the class is unsatisfiable but will not move it.
  - Therefore, although powerful, such constructs can be hard to debug

19

Part 3: A Ridiculously Brief Glance at Representing Time & Space

20

Extents, Intervals, and Ordering

- "Extent" is a general term for a point, interval, area, volume, etc. in space and/or time
- Time comes with natural coordinates
  - Many spatial measures are also laid out with coordinates
- Timed is concerned with points and interval Space with points, intervals, areas, and volumes
- Most temporal and spatial reasoning beyond OWL

A few things you should know Axioms of Ordering of time or lines

- For points in an ordered one-dimensional space
  - Anti-symmetry
    $X<Y \rightarrow \neg(Y<X)$
  - Transitivity
    $X<Y \,\&\, Y<Z \rightarrow X<Z$
  - Totality
    $X<Y \vee Y<X \vee X=Y$

For an Ordered One Dimensional Space Relations between Intervals



The "Allen Calculus" specifies the results of combining intervals.
There are precisely 13 possible combinations including symmetries (6 * 2 + 1)

Exercise

- Using the diagrams on the previous slide, write down the axioms that should apply to the relations between intervals
  - r1(X,Y) & r2(Y,Z) → r?(X,Z)
    - e.g.
      before(X,Y) & before(Y,Z) → before(X,Z)

Points and Intervals

- Time representations are either point based or interval based
  - A point can be viewed as:
    - An interval of zero length
    - One of the set of ordered things that make up an interval.
  - Points can be:
    - Contained in intervals
    - The start or end of the interval
      - start(I) or end(I)

25

Classic Situation Calculus Time, Situations, and Fluents

- Situation = a cross section of time
- Representation as parameter
  - "The radio was on at 9:00"
    on(radio, S9:00)
- Representation by fluents (things that can be true in situations)
  - "the radio was on at 9:00"
    true_in(s9:00, on(radio))

26

Basic Assumptions

- There is an integral measure *clock time*
  - The differential measure of clock time is *duration*
- Intervals of clock times are *sets of clock times*
  - "Kenedy was president throughout 1962"
    S ∈ year_1962 ↔ kennedy=value_in(S, president(us))
  - Intervals of clock times have *durations*

27

Processes and *Events* Alternative View

- *Processes* have duration and correspond to intervals and have positive duration.
- *Events* correspond to points and have zero duration.
- *States* have values and may hold those values and have a duration but the duration may be zero.
  - In most ontologies states must correspond to intervals, though the intervals may be of zero length.

28

What is an event? A process?

- He sat down at three o'clock sharp.
- He sat down slowly and carefully.
- He was so stiff that it took him nearly a half a minute to sit down
- He sat down before the meeting.

- The birthday party took place on Tuesday
- The birthday party lasted three hours.
- The birthday party was the biggest event of the season

29

Situations and OWL/DLs

- Full situation calculus beyond OWL or DLs
  - and even to attempt it need concrete data types
- Can use the idea of a situation
  - If using an event-based view of time
    - The class of situations in which someone is sitting down at 18:00
    - Sitting_at_1800 -->
      Situation and
      (hasFluent someValuesFrom SittingProcess) and
      (occursAt someValuesFrom
      (Event and occursAt value 1800)))

30

Situations and OWL/DLs (cont)

- if using an interval based view of time
  - Sitting_between_1800_and_1801 ≡ Situation and
    (hasFluent someValuesFrom SittingProcess) and
    (occurs_during someValuesFrom
    (Interval and (hasStartTime value 1800)
    and (hasEndTime value 1801)))

31

Snaps and Spans 3D and 4D views Yet another View

- Another version is to index by time
  - A "span" is entire history of an entity through time
    - Spans are intrinsically four dimensional
  - A "snap" is a cross section of a span at a point in time.
    - Qualities of continuants are dependent on the SNAP and change in the course of a SPAN
      - e.g. an Apple can be green in one SNAP and red in a later SNAP
  - A "situation" is a piece of situated information in a 4-D universe; a "Snap" is a three D section of a 4 d entity

Due to Barry Smith et al
(google "Barry Smith")

32



33

The Future of Time in OWL

- Might also represent ordering of time or intervals, but
  - most useful applications require both concrete domains and individuals
  - highly speculative at this time
  - but description logics are closely related to formally to temporal logics, so …

34