
On Voevodsky's Univalence Axiom

6th July, 2011, Edinburgh

Third European Set Theory Conference .

Peter Aczel

`petera@cs.man.ac.uk`

Manchester University

Plan of Lecture

I(1): Introduction

II(3): Higher dimensional category theory homotopy theory and homotopy type theory (HoTT)

III(3): The Structure Identity Principle (SIP)

IV(9): Review of Type Theory

V(3): The Univalence Axiom

VI(1): Conclusion

References:

Use google on Vladimir Voevodsky, Univalence Axiom and Homotopy Type Theory or HoTT

I: Introduction

Voevodsky's **Univalence Axiom (UA)** is a fundamental axiom, to be added to (intensional dependent) type theory, for a proposed Univalent Foundations of mathematics.

- Vladimir Voevodsky and Steve Awodey were the independent originators, around 2005/06, of the ideas at the basis of UA and **Homotopy Type Theory (HoTT)**, an amalgam of

- Higher dimensional groupoid/category theory

- Homotopy theory

- Type theory

- My talk will focus on an application of UA, pointed out by Thierry Coquand, to a strong version of a

Structure Identity Principle (SIP).

II.1: Higher dimensional category theory

dim 0: Sets have elements/objects.

dim 1: Categories also have arrows between objects

dim 2: 2-categories have in addition arrows between those arrows.

⋮

⋮

Identity between elements/objects

dim 0: standard equality between elements of a set.

dim 1: isomorphism between objects of a category

dim 2: equivalence between objects of a 2-category

⋮

⋮

II.2: Groupoids and Homotopy Theory

- A (weak) $n + 1$ -category need only have identity and associative laws up to an n -equivalence.
- A **groupoid** is a category in which every arrow is invertible.
- A **(weak) $n + 1$ -groupoid** is a (weak) $n + 1$ -category in which each arrow is invertible up to an n -equivalence.

Homotopy Theory

- A Space has points, paths between points, homotopies (i.e. paths) between paths, etc ...
- Each space X has a set $\Pi_0(X)$ of its path connected components, its fundamental groupoid $\Pi_1(X)$ and its higher dimensional groupoids $\Pi_n(X)$ for $n > 1$.
- A cts function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a **weak equivalence** if it induces isomorphisms $\Pi_n(X) \cong \Pi_n(Y)$ for all $n \geq 0$.

II.3: Homotopy Type Theory (HoTT)

- Interpretation of types as spaces and identity types as path spaces.
- Higher dimensional inductive definitions of the standard spaces.
- Hierarchy of homotopy levels of types.
- Univalence Axiom and the structure identity principle.
- Simplicial sets model of HoTT.
- HoTT in the coq proof development system.

III.1: Structure Identity Principle (SIP)

Isomorphic mathematical structures are structurally identical; i.e. have the same structural properties.

$$\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} =_{str} \mathcal{B},$$

where, for structures \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} of the same signature,

$$\mathcal{A} =_{str} \mathcal{B} :=$$

$P(\mathcal{A}) \Leftrightarrow P(\mathcal{B})$ for all structural properties P of structures of that signature.

- Structures may be higher order, many-sorted (or even dependently-sorted), infinitary, etc ...

III.2: What is a structural property?

- In **mathematical practise** the notion is usually not precisely defined, but is usually intuitively understood.
- In **logic** there can be a precise answer.

A structural property has the form P_T where T is a set of L -sentences of a formal language L for the signature and

$$P_T(\mathcal{A}) := \mathcal{A} \text{ is a model of } T.$$

- There can be a variety of possible languages L for a signature, depending on the logic of L , which has to be able to express the ingredients of the signature.
- In **category theory**, when working with a category of structures, equality between objects is considered not meaningful. So the language being used only allows structural properties.

Homotopy Type Theory (HoTT)

- HoTT is intentional dependent type theory with the Univalence Axiom (UA).

- SIP in HoTT:

Isomorphic structures are identical

i.e. if C is the type of structures of some signature then

$$(A \cong_C B) \rightarrow Id_C(A, B)$$

where

- $(A \cong_C B)$ is the type of isomorphisms from A to B ,
- $Id_C(A, B)$ is the type of witnesses that A, B are identical.

IV: Review of

Intensional Dependent Type Theory

A formal language in which only structural properties can be represented.

IV.1: The Forms of Judgment

A **judgment** has the form $\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{B}$ where Γ is a **context**

$$x_1 : A_1, x_2 : A_2[x_1], \dots, x_n : A_n[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$$

and \mathcal{B} has one of the forms

$A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ type

$a[x_1, \dots, x_n] : A[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

$A[x_1, \dots, x_n] = A'[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

$a[x_1, \dots, x_n] = a'[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

The x_1, \dots, x_n are distinct variables and each

$$x_i : A_i[x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}]$$

is a **variable declaration**.

IV.2: Rules of Inference

Each instance of a rule of inference has the form

$$\frac{J_1 \quad \cdots \quad J_n}{J_0}$$

where each J_i is a possible judgment. Rules are presented schematically using obvious conventions such as the suppression of parametric declarations.

For example the scheme $\frac{A, B \text{ type}}{(A \rightarrow B) \text{ type}}$

will have instances, for any context Γ ,

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \text{ type} \quad \Gamma \vdash B \text{ type}}{\Gamma \vdash (A \rightarrow B) \text{ type}}.$$

IV.3: Some more schemes for $(A \rightarrow B)$

$$\frac{x : A \vdash b[x] : B}{(\lambda x : A)b[x] : (A \rightarrow B)}$$

$$\frac{f : A \rightarrow B \quad a : A}{fa : B}$$

$$\frac{x : A \vdash b[x] : B \quad a : A}{((\lambda x : A)b[x])a = b[a] : B}$$

IV.4: Basic forms of type

$\mathbb{0}, \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{N}$: standard ground types

$A \rightarrow B$: Function type

$A \times B$: Cartesian Product type

$A + B$: Disjoint Union type

and when there are dependent types

$(\Pi x : A)B[x]$: type of functions $f x : B[x]$ for $x : A$

$(\Sigma x : A)B[x]$: type of pairs (x, y) for $x : A, y : B[x]$

We could define

$$A \rightarrow B := (\Pi_ : A)B$$

$$A \times B := (\Sigma_ : A)B$$

IV.5: Propositions as Types

The dictionary for representing logic in the Curry-Howard correspondence:

prop	A true	\perp	\top	$A \rightarrow B$	$A \wedge B$	$A \vee B$
type	$- : A$	0	1	$A \rightarrow B$	$A \times B$	$A + B$

prop	$(\forall x : A) B[x]$	$(\exists x : A) B[x]$	$a =_A a'$
type	$(\Pi x : A) B[x]$	$(\Sigma x : A) B[x]$??

Per Martin-Löf introduced identity types into type theory:

prop	$a =_A a'$
type	$Id_A(a, a')$

IV.6: Identity Rules

Logical Identity Rules: $x : A \vdash x =_A x$

$$\frac{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x, y : A \vdash \phi[x, y] \text{ prop} \\ x : A \vdash \phi[x, x] \text{ true} \end{array} \right.}{x, y : A, x =_A y \vdash \phi[x, y] \text{ true}}$$

Type Theory Identity Rules: $x : A \vdash rx : Id_A(x, x)$

$$\frac{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x, y : A, z : Id_A(x, y) \vdash C[x, y, z] \text{ type} \\ x : A \vdash b[x] : C[x, x, rx] \end{array} \right.}{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x, y : A, z : Id_A(x, y) \vdash J(x, y, z) : C[x, y, z] \\ x : A \vdash J(x, x, rx) = b[x] : C[x, x, rx] \end{array} \right.}}$$

We write $a \sim_A b$ or just $a \sim b$ for $Id_A(a, b)$.

IV.7: Type Universe (à la Russell)

A **type universe** \mathbb{U} is a type, whose elements are types (the **small types**). It has the closure properties given by the basic forms of type; i.e.

$$0, 1, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{N} : \mathbb{U}$$

$$\frac{A : \mathbb{U} \quad x : A \vdash B[x] : \mathbb{U}}{\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\Pi x : A) B[x] : \mathbb{U} \\ (\Sigma x : A) B[x] : \mathbb{U} \end{array} \right.}$$

$$\frac{A, B : \mathbb{U}}{A + B : \mathbb{U}}$$

$$\frac{A : \mathbb{U}}{x, x' : A \vdash (x \sim_A x') : \mathbb{U}}$$

IV.8: Type Theoretic AC

Let $C := (\Pi x : A)B[x]$, where A is a type and $B[x]$ is a type for $x : A$.

Theorem: If $R[x, y]$ is a type for $x : A, y : B[x]$ then $AC_{C,R}$, where $AC_{C,R}$ is the type

$$(\Pi x : A)(\Sigma y : B[x])R[x, y] \rightarrow (\Sigma f : C)(\Pi x : A)R[x, fx].$$

IV.9: Function Extensionality Axiom

Let $C := (\Pi x : A) B[x]$, where A is a type and $B[x]$ is a type for $x : A$.

The Axiom:

$$FEA_C := (\Pi f, f' : C)[f \approx f' \rightarrow f \sim f'],$$

where

$$f \approx f' := (\Pi x : A) f x \sim f' x.$$

As $(\Pi f : C)[f \approx (\lambda x : A) f x]$, an immediate consequence of FEA_C is the **Eta Axiom (EAC)**, where EAC is the type

$$(\Pi f : C) f \sim (\lambda x : A) f x.$$

V: The Univalence Axiom

V.1: Type Equivalence

- A type is **contractible** if $\text{contr}(X)$, where $\text{contr}(X)$ is the type

$$(\Sigma x : X)(\Pi x' : X) x \sim x'.$$

- In PaT $\text{contr}(X)$ expresses the proposition that X is a singleton.

- If $f : A \rightarrow B$ let $A \stackrel{f}{\simeq} B := (\Pi y : B)\text{contr}(f^{-1}y)$, where $f^{-1}y := (\Sigma x : A)fx \sim y$ for $y : B$.

- In PaT $A \stackrel{f}{\simeq} B$ expresses the proposition that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is injective and surjective.

- In HoTT it can express that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a weak equivalence.

- Let $A \simeq B := (\Sigma f : A \rightarrow B)(A \stackrel{f}{\simeq} B)$.

Proposition: There is $r_A \stackrel{\sim}{=} (id_A, w_A) : A \simeq A$.

V.2: Type Isomorphism

- Let A, B, C be types. Define $id_A := (\lambda x : A)x : A \rightarrow A$ and, if $f : A \rightarrow B$ and $g : B \rightarrow C$, $g \circ f := (\lambda x : A)g(fx)$.
- If $f : A \rightarrow B$ let
$$A \stackrel{f}{\cong} B := (\Sigma g : B \rightarrow A)[(g \circ f \approx id_A) \times (f \circ g \approx id_B)]$$
- In PaT the type $A \stackrel{f}{\cong} B$ expresses the proposition that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is an isomorphism.
- In HoTT the type $A \stackrel{f}{\simeq} B$ can express that $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a homotopy equivalence.
- Let $A \cong B := (\Sigma f : A \rightarrow B) A \stackrel{f}{\simeq} B$.

Proposition: $A \cong B \rightarrow (A \leftrightarrow B)$.

Proposition: $(A \simeq B) \leftrightarrow (A \cong B)$.

V.3: The Univalence Axiom (UA)

Let \mathbb{U} be a type universe. Using the Elimination rule for \mathbb{U} there is

$$EXYZ : (X \simeq Y) \text{ for } X, Y : \mathbb{U}, Z : (X \sim Y)$$

such that $EXX(rX) = r_{\tilde{X}} : (X \simeq X)$ for $X : \mathbb{U}$.

So $EXY : (X \sim Y) \rightarrow (X \simeq Y)$ for $X, Y : \mathbb{U}$.

The Axiom:

$$UA(\mathbb{U}) := (\prod X, Y : \mathbb{U}) [(X \sim Y) \stackrel{EXY}{\simeq} (X \simeq Y)].$$

Theorem: $UA(\mathbb{U}) \rightarrow [X \cong Y \leftrightarrow X \sim Y]$ for $X, Y : \mathbb{U}$.

Theorem: Univalence Axiom and Eta Axiom for \mathbb{U}

implies Function Extensionality for \mathbb{U} .

Theorem (Voevodsky)

In ZFC+ a Grothendieck Universe, intensional dependent type theory with $UA(\mathbb{U})$ has an interpretation in the category of simplicial sets.

I have yet to study and understand the proof!

Conclusion

Assuming $UA(\mathbb{U})$

SIP in HoTT

e.g. for $A, B : \mathbb{U}$

• $A \cong B \rightarrow A \sim_{\mathbb{U}} B,$

• for $a : A, b : B,$

$(A, a) \cong (B, b) \rightarrow (A, a) \sim_C (B, b)$ where $C := (\Sigma X : \mathbb{U})X,$

• for $G, G' : AbGrp(\mathbb{U})$ where $G = (|G|, 0_G, +_G),$
 $G' = (|G'|, 0_{G'}, +_{G'})$

$$G \cong G' \rightarrow G \sim_{AbGrp(\mathbb{U})} G'.$$