

Minimal Models Modulo Subset-Simulation for Modal Logics

Fabio Papacchini Renate A. Schmidt

The University of Manchester
{papacchf, schmidt}@cs.man.ac.uk

Motivation and Aim


(Minimal) model generation is useful for several tasks such as hardware and software verification, fault analysis, and commonsense reasoning.

We aim to design a semantic and generic minimality criterion suitable for a big variety of modal logics. We also give a basic tableau calculus for computing the minimal models.

Subset-Simulation

Let $M = (W, R, V)$ and $M' = (W', R, V')$ be two models. A *subset-simulation* is a binary relation $S_{\subseteq} \subseteq W \times W'$ s.t. for any two worlds $u \in W$ and $u' \in W'$, $uS_{\subseteq}u'$ if

- ▶ $V(u) \subseteq V'(u')$ and
- ▶ if uRv , then there exists a $v' \in W'$ such that $u'Rv'$ and $vS_{\subseteq}v'$.



Minimal Models Modulo Subset-Simulation

Subset-simulation is a preorder over models.

⇒ Minimal models = minimal elements of the preorder.

Simulation is used to reduce the number of minimal models.

Properties of the minimality criterion:

- ▶ applied to the graph representation of models (i.e., it is semantic),
- ▶ finite unravelled models are preferred over infinite unravelled models (not domain minimal),
- ▶ minimisation of the content of worlds,
- ▶ suitable for many modal logics.

Tableau Calculus

Input: a modal formula in negated normal form.

Branch selection strategy: the left most branch with the least number of worlds.

$$\begin{array}{l}
 (\alpha) \frac{u : (\phi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_n) \vee \Phi_{\alpha}^{+}}{u : \phi_1 \vee \Phi_{\alpha}^{+}} \quad (\square) \frac{(u, v) : R \quad u : \square\phi}{v : \phi} \\
 \vdots \\
 u : \phi_n \vee \Phi_{\alpha}^{+} \\
 \\
 (\beta) \frac{u : \mathcal{A} \vee \Phi^{+}}{u : \mathcal{A} \quad u : \Phi^{+}} \\
 u : \text{neg}(\Phi^{+})
 \end{array}$$

where \mathcal{A} is of the form $\diamond\phi$, $\square\phi$, or p_i , and $\text{neg}(\Phi^{+}) = \neg p_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \neg p_n$ where p_i is a disjunct of Φ^{+} .

$$(\diamond) \frac{u : \diamond\phi}{(u, u_1) : R \quad \dots \quad (u, u_n) : R \quad (u, v) : R} \\
 u_1 : \phi \quad \dots \quad u_n : \phi \quad v : \phi$$

where v is a fresh world.

$$(SBR) \frac{u : p_1, \dots, u : p_n \quad u : \neg p_1 \vee \dots \vee \neg p_n \vee \Phi_{\alpha}^{+}}{u : \Phi_{\alpha}^{+}}$$

Table: Rules of the tableau calculus

Features of the calculus:

- ▶ lazy classification ((α) rule) to reduce the number of inferences,
- ▶ complement splitting ((β) rule) to close “non-minimal” branches as soon as possible,
- ▶ exhaustive expansion of diamond formulae for minimal model completeness,
- ▶ selection-based resolution to reduce the number of inferences and to close branches.

The calculus is **refutationally sound and complete**.

The calculus is **minimal model complete**.

Subset-simulation test

- ▶ If the partial model of an open branch does not subset-simulates an already extracted model, but it is subset-simulated by it, then close the branch,
- ▶ compare newly extracted models with already extracted models and close branches accordingly.

The subset-simulation test guarantees **minimal model soundness**.

The calculus can be easily generalised to cover more expressive logics.

Termination depends on the logic under consideration.

- ▶ Φ_{α}^{+} is a disjunction where no disjunct is a negated propositional variable,
- ▶ Φ^{+} is a disjunction where no disjunct is a conjunction or a negated propositional variable.