

A Modal Tableau Approach for Minimal Model Generation

Fabio Papacchini and Renate A. Schmidt

School of Computer Science, The University of Manchester

{papacchf, schmidt}@cs.man.ac.uk

Aim

To create a tableau calculus for modal formulae which:

- works on a set of modal clauses
- generates only minimal modal Herbrand models
- generates all minimal modal Herbrand models
- generates each minimal modal Herbrand model only once
- terminates

(Minimal) Modal Herbrand Model

Modal Herbrand universe (W_U): The set of all ground terms built from a fixed constant w and a supply of unary function symbols $f_{\diamond\phi}$ uniquely associated with subformulae $\diamond\phi$ of a modal formula φ .

Modal Herbrand interpretation: A set composed of labelled formulae of the form $u : p$ and labelled relations of the form $(u, v) : R$.

Modal Herbrand model: If a modal Herbrand interpretation I is such that $I \models w : \varphi$, then I is a *modal Herbrand model* of φ .

Example: a modal Herbrand model for $p_1 \wedge (\diamond p_2 \vee p_3)$ is

$$\{w : p_1, w : p_3, f_{\diamond p_2}(w) : p_2, (w, f_{\diamond p_2}(w)) : R\}$$

Minimal modal Herbrand model: A modal Herbrand model I of a modal formula φ is a *minimal modal Herbrand model* iff every other modal Herbrand model I' of φ , if $I' \subseteq I$ then $I = I'$.

Example: the minimal modal Herbrand models for $p_1 \wedge (\diamond p_2 \vee p_3)$ are:

$$I_1 = \{w : p_1, w : p_3\} \text{ and } I_2 = \{w : p_1, f_{\diamond p_2}(w) : p_2, (w, f_{\diamond p_2}(w)) : R\}$$

Minimal Modal Model Generation Calculus

Input: a set of modal clauses

Box miniscoping
during the CNF transformation

Box miniscoping: $\Box(\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2) \Rightarrow \Box\phi_1 \wedge \Box\phi_2$

a conjunction appears only in the scope of a diamond operator

Expansion strategy: depth-first left-to-right strategy. Without this strategy the calculus is no longer minimal model sound and complete.

Two possible **outputs:**

- the input is unsatisfiable (closed tableau)
- all and only minimal modal Herbrand models, each model exactly once (fully expanded open tableau: open branch \rightsquigarrow a minimal model)

Expansion rules

$$(\diamond) \frac{u : \diamond(\phi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_n)}{(u, f_{\diamond\phi}(u)) : R} \quad (\vee)_E \frac{(u : \phi_1 \vee \dots \vee \phi_n) \vee \Phi}{(u : \phi_1) \vee \dots \vee (u : \phi_n) \vee \Phi}$$

$$f_{\diamond\phi}(u) : \phi_1$$

$$\vdots$$

$$f_{\diamond\phi}(u) : \phi_n$$

where $\phi = \phi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_n$ and $f_{\diamond\phi}$ is function symbol uniquely associated with $\diamond\phi$

$$(CS) \frac{\mathcal{P}_1 \vee \dots \vee \mathcal{P}_n}{\begin{array}{c|c|c} \mathcal{P}_1 & \mathcal{P}_2 & \dots & \mathcal{P}_n \\ \hline \text{neg}(\mathcal{P}_2) & \vdots & \dots & \text{neg}(\mathcal{P}_n) \\ \hline \vdots & \text{neg}(\mathcal{P}_n) & & \vdots \\ \hline \text{neg}(\mathcal{P}_n) & & & \vdots \end{array}}$$

$$(PUHR) \frac{\begin{array}{c} u_1 : p_1 \quad \dots \quad u_n : p_n \\ (v_1, w_1) : R \quad \dots \quad (v_m, w_m) : R \\ (s_1, t_1) : R \quad \dots \quad (s_j, t_j) : R \\ u_1 : \neg p_1 \vee \dots \vee u_n : \neg p_n \vee v_1 : \Box\phi_1 \vee \dots \vee v_m : \Box\phi_m \\ \vee (s_1, t_1) : \neg R \vee \dots \vee (s_j, t_j) : \neg R \vee \Psi \end{array}}{(w_1 : \phi_1) \vee \dots \vee (w_m : \phi_m) \vee \Psi}$$

Model constraint propagation rule

If $H = \{u_1 : p_1, \dots, u_n : p_n, (v_1, w_1) : R, \dots, (v_m, w_m) : R\}$ is a model extracted from an open and fully expanded branch \mathcal{B} , then the following *model constraint clause*

$$u_1 : \neg p_1 \vee \dots \vee u_n : \neg p_n \vee (v_1, w_1) : \neg R \vee \dots \vee (v_m, w_m) : \neg R$$

is added to all the branches to the right of \mathcal{B}

(\diamond) rule:

- the union of the standard α rule and diamond rule
- $f_{\diamond\phi}(u)$ is a Skolem term uniquely associated with the premise

(\vee)_E rule: switches from labelled disjunction to disjunction of labelled formulae

(CS) (complement splitting) rule:

- avoids the creation of a model more than once
- ensures that the first model is minimal

Negation of positive tableau literal is defined by the *neg* function:

$$\text{neg}(\mathcal{P}) = \begin{cases} u : \neg p_i & \text{if } \mathcal{P} = u : p_i \\ (u, v) : \neg R & \text{if } \mathcal{P} = (u, v) : R \\ (u, f_{\diamond\phi}(u)) : \neg R & \text{if } \mathcal{P} = u : \diamond\phi. \end{cases}$$

(PUHR) rule:

- is the simultaneous application of the closure rules (for labelled formulae and labelled relations) and the box rule
- expands a disjunction of tableau literals where some of the tableau literals are negative iff it is necessary

Model constraint propagation rule: prevents the generation of non-minimal models