

Companion theories from eu structures

Let us suppose we haven't seen any companion theories, but we have constructed $\mathcal{U}(T)$ for an arbitrary theory T .

These are the crucial properties of $\mathcal{U}(T)$.

(i) $\mathcal{U}(T)$ is cofinal in $\mathcal{S}(T)$.

(ii) For $\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N} \in \mathcal{U}(T)$ we have

$$(\mathfrak{M}, a) \equiv (\exists_1) (\mathfrak{N}, b) \implies (\mathfrak{M}, a) \equiv_p (\mathfrak{N}, b)$$

for all matching points a, b .

(iii) In particular, for all $\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N} \in \mathcal{U}(T)$, we have

$$\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{N} \implies \mathfrak{M} < \mathfrak{N}$$

Two ‘companion classes’

Let

$$\mathcal{E}(T) \quad \mathcal{G}(T)$$

be those classes of structures \mathfrak{A} for which there is some $\mathfrak{M} \in \mathcal{U}(T)$ with

$$\mathfrak{A} \prec_1 \mathfrak{M} \quad \mathfrak{A} \prec \mathfrak{M}$$

respectively. Observe that

$$\mathcal{U}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{E}(T)$$

Let’s see what these two classes tell us about T .

We know that $\mathcal{E}(T)$ is the class of structures ec for T . Let’s pretend we don’t know that.

We have learnt that it is not companion theories that are important, but the generating classes.

Properties of $\mathcal{E}(T)$ and $\mathcal{G}(T)$

$\mathcal{E}(T)$ has the three properties

- (i) $\mathcal{E}(T)$ is cofinal in $\mathcal{S}(T)$
- (ii) For each $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{E}(T)$ $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{B} \implies \mathfrak{A} \prec_1 \mathfrak{B}$
- (iii) $\mathfrak{A} \prec_1 \mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{E}(T) \implies \mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{E}(T)$

$\mathcal{G}(T)$ has the three properties

- (i) $\mathcal{G}(T)$ is cofinal in $\mathcal{S}(T)$
- (ii) For each $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{E}(T)$ $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{B} \implies \mathfrak{A} \prec \mathfrak{B}$
- (iii) $\mathfrak{A} \prec \mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{E}(T) \implies \mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{E}(T)$

In both cases (i, iii) are trivial (from the current definition).
Property (ii) take a little more work, same proof in both cases.

Uniqueness of $\mathcal{E}(T)$ and $\mathcal{G}(T)$

$\mathcal{E}(T)$ is the unique class with (i, ii, iii).

$\mathcal{G}(T)$ is the unique class with (i, ii, iii).

The proof for $\mathcal{E}(T)$ is a simplified version of that for $\mathcal{G}(T)$.

The proof for $\mathcal{G}(T)$ has two variants.

From the proofs we see that both $\mathcal{E}(T)$ and $\mathcal{G}(T)$ are closed under unions of directed families.

The ‘closed’ property of $\mathcal{E}(T)$

We find that $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{E}(T)$ precisely when $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{S}(T)$ and

$$\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{B} \models T \implies \mathfrak{A} \prec_1 \mathfrak{B}$$

Warning: It is tempting to assume that each $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{G}(T)$ has the property

$$\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{B} \models T \implies \mathfrak{A} \prec \mathfrak{B}$$

This is not true, even when T is \forall_2 -axiomatizable.

Such a class of structures can exist when the language is countable. But this class can be very different from $\mathcal{G}(T)$.

A generalization

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\mathcal{E}_n(T)$ be the class of those structures \mathfrak{A} for which there is some $\mathfrak{M} \in \mathcal{U}(T)$ with

$$\mathfrak{A} \prec_{n+1} \mathfrak{M}$$

We have

$$\mathcal{E}(T) = \mathcal{E}_0(T) \supseteq \mathcal{E}_1(T) \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \mathcal{E}_n(T) \supseteq \mathcal{E}_{n+1}(T) \supseteq \cdots$$

with

$$\mathcal{G}(T) = \bigcap \{ \mathcal{E}_n(T) \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \}$$

Each $\mathcal{E}_n(T)$ has a (i, ii, iii) characterization.

Ex: Show that

$$\mathcal{E}_n(T) = \mathcal{E}_{n+1}(T) \implies \mathcal{E}_n(T) = \mathcal{G}(T)$$