

Existentially closed .v. Existentially universal

We strengthen the property of being existentially closed to produce structures that can handle \exists_1 -types, not just \exists_1 -formulas.

Another characterization of being e.c.

Fix a theory T .

A structure \mathfrak{A} is e.c. for T if $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{S}(T)$ and:

For each \exists_1 -formula $\theta(a, v)$ with parameters a from \mathfrak{A} , if

$$\mathfrak{B} \models (\exists v)\theta(a, v)$$

for some $\mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathfrak{B} \models T$, then [This just says $\mathfrak{A} \prec_1 \mathfrak{B}$]

$$\mathfrak{A} \models (\exists v)\theta(a, v)$$

If $\theta(a, v)$ is T -consistent over \mathfrak{A} then $\theta(a, v)$ is realized in \mathfrak{A} .

Definition of being e.u.

A structure \mathfrak{M} is e.u. for T if $\mathfrak{M} \in \mathcal{S}(T)$ and:

For each **set** $\Theta(a, v)$ of \exists_1 -formulas with finitely many parameters a from \mathfrak{M} and a batch v of finitely many free variables, if

$$\mathfrak{B} \models (\exists v)[\bigwedge \Theta(a, v)]$$

for some $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{B} \models T$, then

$$\mathfrak{M} \models (\exists v)[\bigwedge \Theta(a, v)]$$

If $\Theta(a, v)$ is T -consistent over \mathfrak{M} then $\Theta(a, v)$ is realized in \mathfrak{M} .

Some observations

The set $\Theta(a, v)$ is an \exists_1 -type over \mathfrak{A} .

Let $\mathcal{U}(T)$ be the class of structure that are e.u. for T .

Trivially we have $\mathcal{U}(T) \subseteq \mathcal{E}(T)$.

The major problem is to show that $\mathcal{U}(T)$ is non-empty, in fact cofinal in $\mathcal{S}(T)$.

This is the first genuine saturation process. [See next block]

A forth construction

Suppose

$$(\mathfrak{A}, a) \equiv (\exists_1) (\mathfrak{N}, b)$$

where $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{S}(T)$, $\mathfrak{N} \in \mathcal{U}(T)$ with matching points a, b .

Then for each $x \in \mathfrak{A}$ there is some $y \in \mathfrak{N}$ with

$$(\mathfrak{A}, a \frown x) \equiv (\exists_1) (\mathfrak{N}, b \frown y)$$

Let $\Theta(a, v)$ be the \exists_1 -type of x in (\mathfrak{A}, a) . That is

$$\Theta(a, x) = Th(\mathfrak{A}, a, x) \cap \exists_1.$$

The type $\Theta(b, v)$ is finitely satisfiable in (\mathfrak{N}, b) , and hence satisfiable in (\mathfrak{N}, b)

Some consequences

For $\mathfrak{N} \in \mathcal{U}(T)$ and **countable** $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{S}(T)$

$$(\mathfrak{A}, a) \equiv (\exists_1) (\mathfrak{N}, b) \implies (\mathfrak{A}, a) \text{ is embeddable in } (\mathfrak{N}, b)$$

If the base theory T has *JEP* then each countable $\mathfrak{A} \in \mathcal{Md}(T)$ is embeddable in each $\mathfrak{N} \in \mathcal{U}(T)$.

For each $\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N} \in \mathcal{U}(T)$

$$(\mathfrak{M}, a) \equiv (\exists_1) (\mathfrak{N}, b) \implies (\mathfrak{M}, a) \equiv_p (\mathfrak{N}, b)$$

If the base theory T has *JEP* then

$$(\mathfrak{M}, a) \equiv_0 (\mathfrak{N}, b) \implies (\mathfrak{M}, a) \equiv_p (\mathfrak{N}, b)$$

Recall that since $\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{N}$ are e.c. we have

$$(\mathfrak{M}, a) \equiv (\exists_1) (\mathfrak{N}, b) \implies (\mathfrak{M}, a) \equiv_2 (\mathfrak{N}, b)$$