

Elimination of TBox and ABox

ABox elimination

- **In extensions of \mathcal{ALCO} :** ABox consistency problem is reduced to (and hence has the same complexity as) the concept satisfiability problem, as follows: *an ABox \mathcal{A} is consistent w.r.t. a TBox \mathcal{T} iff the following concept is satisfiable w.r.t. the same TBox \mathcal{T} :*

$$\bigsqcap_{a \text{ occurs in } \mathcal{A}} \exists U. (\{a\} \sqcap \bigsqcap_{a:C \in \mathcal{A}} C \sqcap \bigsqcap_{aRb \in \mathcal{A}} \exists R. \{b\})$$

where U is a *fresh* role name (i.e., not occurring in \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}).

TBox elimination

Given a TBox \mathcal{T} , denote $C_{\mathcal{T}} := \bigsqcap_{(D \sqsubseteq E) \in \mathcal{T}} (\neg D \sqcup E)$. So, \mathcal{T} is equivalent to the TBox $\{\top \sqsubseteq C_{\mathcal{T}}\}$.

In the following cases, a general TBox can be “internalized”, so that reasoning w.r.t. TBox can be reduced to (and hence has the same complexity as) reasoning without TBox.

- **In extensions of \mathcal{ALCIO} :** *a concept C is satisfiable w.r.t. a TBox \mathcal{T} iff the following concept is satisfiable (w.r.t. the empty TBox):*

$$C \sqcap \{a\} \sqcap \exists U. \{a\} \sqcap \forall U. C_{\mathcal{T}} \sqcap \bigsqcap_{R \in \text{Roles}} \forall U. \forall R. \exists U^{-}. \{a\},$$

where the role name U and the nominal $\{a\}$ are *fresh* (i.e., not occurring in C, \mathcal{T}) and Roles is the set of role names occurring in C and \mathcal{T} and inverses thereof.

- **In extensions of \mathcal{SH} :** *a concept C is satisfiable w.r.t. a TBox \mathcal{T} and RBox \mathcal{R} iff the concept $C \sqcap C_{\mathcal{T}} \sqcap \forall U. C_{\mathcal{T}}$ is satisfiable w.r.t. empty TBox and the following RBox:*

$$\mathcal{R}_U := \mathcal{R} \cup \{\text{Trans}(U)\} \cup \{R \sqsubseteq U \mid R \in \text{Roles}\},$$

where U is a role name not occurring in $C, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{R}$, and Roles is the set of all role names occurring in $C, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{R}$ (and their inverses, if the language under consideration has the inverse role constructor).

- **In extensions of $\mathcal{ALC}(\sqcup, *)$:** *a concept C is satisfiable w.r.t. a TBox \mathcal{T} iff the following concept is satisfiable (w.r.t. empty TBox):*

$$C \sqcap \forall (R_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup R_n)^*. C_{\mathcal{T}},$$

where $\{R_1, \dots, R_n\}$ is the set of role names occurring in C, \mathcal{T} (and their inverses, if the language under consideration has the role inverse constructor).