

# **CS3192 Section 1** *Slides for some solutions*

Andrea Schalk

Department of Computer Science, University of Manchester

CS3192 Section 1 - p. 1/7

(2, 2)-Nim

#### Exercise 1 (a): $\left(2,2\right)\text{-Nim.}$



P.layer 1

CS3192 Section 1 – p. 2/7

(2, 2)-Nim



Player 2

(2, 2)-Nim



We are taking **Symmetry** into account here.

CS3192 Section 1 - p. 2/7

(2, 2)-Nim



(2, 2)-Nim

#### Exercise 1 (a): $\left(2,2\right)\text{-Nim.}$



(2, 2)-Nim



Exercise 2 (a): Throwing two 3-faced dice.

First throw of one die:



Exercise 2 (a): Throwing two 3-faced dice.

Throw of two dice



Exercise 2 (a): Throwing two 3-faced dice.

With outcomes



Exercise 2 (a): Throwing two 3-faced dice.

With outcomes



The probability for any of the leaves to occur is

$$1/3 \times 1/3 = 1/9.$$

Exercise 2 (a): Throwing two 3-faced dice.

With outcomes



The probability for any of the leaves to occur is

$$1/3 \times 1/3 = 1/9.$$

There is one leaf each for outcomes of throwing two 1s, two 2s or two 3s, but two leaves each for the outcome where the two numbers shown are different.

Exercise 2 (a): Throwing two 3-faced dice.

With outcomes



Hence these are the probabilities for the outcomes:

**Exercise 3 (a): Simplified Poker** 

Here is the game tree when ignoring the deal.



**Exercise 3 (a): Simplified Poker** 

The deal:



Player 1 draws card

Plaver 2 draws card

There are six possible deals: Player 1 might get any of the three cards, and Player 2 might then get any of the two remaining cards. Since all the possible combinations can occur, we have to multiply the possibilities to get  $3 \times 2 = 6$  deals.

**Exercise 3 (a): Simplified Poker** 



There are six possible deals: **Player 1** might get any of the three cards, and **Player 2** might then get any of the two remaining cards. Since all the possible combinations can occur, we have to multiply the possibilities to get  $3 \times 2 = 6$  deals.

Hence there will be 6 copies of the tree given here in the actual game tree. Furthermore, it will be necessary to indicate the information sets, that is the positions the player whose turn it is cannot distinguish between.

**Exercise 3 (a): Simplified Poker** 

The whole tree:



Hence there will be 6 copies of the tree given here in the actual game tree. Furthermore, it will be necessary to indicate the information sets, that is the positions the player whose turn it is cannot distinguish between.

**Exercise 3 (a): Simplified Poker** 

The whole tree:



Hence there will be 6 copies of the tree given here in the actual game tree. Furthermore, it will be necessary to indicate the information sets, that is the positions the player whose turn it is cannot distinguish between.

For Player 1 this is fairly easy, see the picture on the left. However, the next move is Player 2's, and there the two nodes which belong to each information set are much further apart!

**Exercise 3 (a): Simplified Poker** 

#### The whole tree:



For Player 1 this is fairly easy, see the picture on the left. However, the next move is Player 2's, and there the two nodes which belong to each information set are much further apart!

For Player 2, two nodes are in the same information set if

- Player 2 has the same card in each node and
- Player 1's previous action (pass or bet) is the same.

 $(2 \times 2)$ -Chomp



2)-Chomp  $2 \times$ 



We use the recursive algorithm to count the strategies for Player 2. First of all, we fill in a '1' at every leaf—a place where there is obviously precisely one strategy for Player 2.

 $(2 \times 2)$ -Chomp



 $(2 \times 2)$ -Chomp



Now we fill in the number of strategies on the level above. This is a level where Player 2 makes the decision, so we have to add the numbers.

 $(2 \times 2)$ -Chomp



 $(2 \times 2)$ -Chomp



The next level up it is Player 1's turn, so we have to multiply numbers.

CS3192 Section 1 - p. 6/7

 $(2 \times 2)$ -Chomp



 $(2 \times 2)$ -Chomp



The next level up it's Player 2's turn again, so we have to add up numbers once more.

 $(2 \times 2)$ -Chomp



 $(2 \times 2)$ -Chomp



Lastly, Player 1 starts so we have to multiply the numbers from the level below.

 $(2 \times 2)$ -Chomp



(2, 2)-Nim



(2, 2))-Nim



We use the same algorithm as for the previous exercise, but count a bit faster now.

(2, 2)-Nim



Player 1. We fill in 1s everywhere it is justified.

(2, 2)-Nim



(2, 2)-Nim



)-Nim (2, 2)



(2, 2)-Nim



(2, 2)-Nim

Player 1. There's a faster way of trying to get a grip on this for very small games. Player 1 has two decision points.

(2, 2)-Nim



Player 1. Player 1 has two decision points.

**lim** (2, 2)



Player 1. Player 1 has two decision points. There are three strategies:

- 'Choose the left branch',
- 'choose the right branch and then the left branch at the next decision point' and
- 'choose the right branch and then the right branch again at the next decision point'.



Player 1. There are three strategies:

- 'Choose the left branch',
- 'choose the right branch and then the left branch at the next decision point' and
- 'choose the right branch and then the right branch again at the next decision point'.

Sensible names for these: 2 (for 'choose the left branch, that is, take 2 matches from either pile'), (1,1) and (1,2).

(2, 2)-Nim



Player 2. Again, we fill in 1s where possible.

(2, 2)-Nim



Player 2.

)-Nim (2, 2)



Player 2.

(2, 2)-Nim



Player 2.

(2, 2)-Nim



Player 2. Player 2 also has two decision points, one with two choices and one with three choices.

(2, 2)-Nim



Player 2. Player 2 also has two decision points, one with two choices and one with three choices. Since Player 1 decides which decision point will be reached, all these choices can be combined with each other, giving  $2 \times 3 = 6$  strategies.

(2, 2)-Nim



Player 2. Names for these: eg. usevertical bar | to separate'what to do 'what to doif Player 1 if Player 1chooses the left chooses thebranch'

For the second alternative we also have to say which stack to take a match from, that with one match remaining (1), and that with two matches remaining (2). If again we simply use the number of matches to be removed we get the strategies 1|1(2), 1|1(1), 1|2(2), 2|1(2), 2|1(1) and 2|2(2).